

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. F		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 2787	
10/827,441	0/827,441 04/20/2004		Masaaki Tanizaki	501.43771X00		
20457	7590	02/07/2006		EXAMINER		
	•	RY, STOUT & KR TEENTH STREET	ARTHUR JEANGL	ARTHUR JEANGLAUD, GERTRUDE		
SUITE 1800		IEENIH SIKEEI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ARLINGTO	N, VA 2	2209-3873	3661			

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/827,441	TANIZAKI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Gertrude Arthur-Jeanglaude	3661	

Before the filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gertrude Arthur-Jeanglaude	3661	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 January 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply much	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires another mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire!	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	tter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	* **	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	);		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		·	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-14.		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	GERTRUDE A. JE PRIMARY EX	J. <i>Leanslande</i> Anglaude Aminer

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amendment of 1/25/06 has new issues in the claims example for claim 3, it recites a map data for the search area, which is expanded in the vicinity of the predicted enroute stopping points, from a map; and correcting the width of the area based on latitude values of the routes as recited in claim 1; these recitations need further consideration and search.